and detention hearing were submitted by defendant through defense counsel. The Coufinds probable cause to believe the defendant violated the terms of his supervised probation as alleged in the petition. IT IS ORDERED that the defendant is detained as flight risk and danger, pending further revocation proceedings. Pursuant to Rule 32.1(a)(6) defendant has failed to show less is not a flight risk or a danger. DATED this 27th day of August, 2009. Mark E. A.		
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA United States of America, Plaintiff, ORDER Plaintiff, ORDER The defendant appeared in court with counsel. The defendant's probable cause hearin and detention hearing were submitted by defendant through defense counsel. The Coufinds probable cause to believe the defendant violated the terms of his supervised probatic as alleged in the petition. IT IS ORDERED that the defendant is detained as flight risk and danger, pendin further revocation proceedings. Pursuant to Rule 32.1(a)(6) defendant has failed to show by its not a flight risk or a danger. DATED this 27th day of August, 2009.	1	wo
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA United States of America, Plaintiff, ORDER United States of America, Plaintiff, ORDER The defendant appeared in court with counsel. The defendant's probable cause hearin and detention hearing were submitted by defendant through defense counsel. The Coufinds probable cause to believe the defendant violated the terms of his supervised probatic as alleged in the petition. IT IS ORDERED that the defendant is detained as flight risk and danger, pending further revocation proceedings. Pursuant to Rule 32.1(a)(6) defendant has failed to show 1 is not a flight risk or a danger. DATED this 27th day of August, 2009. MAMAC. Again.	2	
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA United States of America, Plaintiff, ORDER Plaintiff, ORDER The defendant appeared in court with counsel. The defendant's probable cause hearin and detention hearing were submitted by defendant through defense counsel. The Coufinds probable cause to believe the defendant violated the terms of his supervised probatic as alleged in the petition. IT IS ORDERED that the defendant is detained as flight risk and danger, pendin further revocation proceedings. Pursuant to Rule 32.1(a)(6) defendant has failed to show I is not a flight risk or a danger. DATED this 27th day of August, 2009.	3	
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA United States of America, Plaintiff, ORDER ORDER ORDER ORDER The defendant appeared in court with counsel. The defendant's probable cause hearin and detention hearing were submitted by defendant through defense counsel. The Coufinds probable cause to believe the defendant violated the terms of his supervised probatic as alleged in the petition. IT IS ORDERED that the defendant is detained as flight risk and danger, pendir further revocation proceedings. Pursuant to Rule 32.1(a)(6) defendant has failed to show be in the petition. DATED this 27th day of August, 2009.	4	
United States of America, Plaintiff, Vs. Defendant. The defendant appeared in court with counsel. The defendant's probable cause hearin and detention hearing were submitted by defendant through defense counsel. The Coufinds probable cause to believe the defendant violated the terms of his supervised probatic as alleged in the petition. IT IS ORDERED that the defendant is detained as flight risk and danger, pendir further revocation proceedings. Pursuant to Rule 32.1(a)(6) defendant has failed to show I is not a flight risk or a danger. DATED this 27th day of August, 2009.	5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
United States of America, Plaintiff, ORDER ORDER Defendant. Defendant. The defendant appeared in court with counsel. The defendant's probable cause hearin and detention hearing were submitted by defendant through defense counsel. The Coufinds probable cause to believe the defendant violated the terms of his supervised probatic as alleged in the petition. IT IS ORDERED that the defendant is detained as flight risk and danger, pendir further revocation proceedings. Pursuant to Rule 32.1(a)(6) defendant has failed to show I is not a flight risk or a danger. DATED this 27th day of August, 2009.	6	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
Plaintiff, vs. Jay Thomas Nez, Defendant. The defendant appeared in court with counsel. The defendant's probable cause hearin and detention hearing were submitted by defendant through defense counsel. The Coufinds probable cause to believe the defendant violated the terms of his supervised probatic as alleged in the petition. IT IS ORDERED that the defendant is detained as flight risk and danger, pendir further revocation proceedings. Pursuant to Rule 32.1(a)(6) defendant has failed to show I is not a flight risk or a danger. DATED this 27th day of August, 2009.		United States of America,) 08-04330MP-001-PCT-MEA
Jay Thomas Nez, Defendant. The defendant appeared in court with counsel. The defendant's probable cause hearin and detention hearing were submitted by defendant through defense counsel. The Coufinds probable cause to believe the defendant violated the terms of his supervised probatic as alleged in the petition. IT IS ORDERED that the defendant is detained as flight risk and danger, pendin further revocation proceedings. Pursuant to Rule 32.1(a)(6) defendant has failed to show I is not a flight risk or a danger. DATED this 27 th day of August, 2009.	9	Plaintiff,)
Jay Thomas Nez, Defendant. The defendant appeared in court with counsel. The defendant's probable cause hearin and detention hearing were submitted by defendant through defense counsel. The Countinds probable cause to believe the defendant violated the terms of his supervised probation as alleged in the petition. IT IS ORDERED that the defendant is detained as flight risk and danger, pending further revocation proceedings. Pursuant to Rule 32.1(a)(6) defendant has failed to show be in its not a flight risk or a danger. DATED this 27th day of August, 2009. **Mark E. A.	10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Defendant. Defendant. The defendant appeared in court with counsel. The defendant's probable cause hearing and detention hearing were submitted by defendant through defense counsel. The Countinus probable cause to believe the defendant violated the terms of his supervised probation as alleged in the petition. IT IS ORDERED that the defendant is detained as flight risk and danger, pending further revocation proceedings. Pursuant to Rule 32.1(a)(6) defendant has failed to show list is not a flight risk or a danger. DATED this 27th day of August, 2009. Marks. Again.	11	
The defendant appeared in court with counsel. The defendant's probable cause hearing and detention hearing were submitted by defendant through defense counsel. The Coufinds probable cause to believe the defendant violated the terms of his supervised probation as alleged in the petition. IT IS ORDERED that the defendant is detained as flight risk and danger, pending further revocation proceedings. Pursuant to Rule 32.1(a)(6) defendant has failed to show be in some a flight risk or a danger. DATED this 27th day of August, 2009. **Mande: Analytical Street August (August) and August (August) are supervised probations. **DATED This 27th day of August, 2009.** **Mande: Analytical Street (August) and the defendant is defained as flight risk and danger, pending further revocation proceedings. Pursuant to Rule 32.1(a)(6) defendant has failed to show be in the petition. **DATED This 27th day of August, 2009.** **Mande: Analytical Street (August) and the petition of the petition of the petition. **DATED This 27th day of August, 2009.** **Mande: Analytical Street (August) and the petition of the petition of the petition of the petition. **DATED This 27th day of August, 2009.**	12	Jay Thomas Nez,
The defendant appeared in court with counsel. The defendant's probable cause hearing and detention hearing were submitted by defendant through defense counsel. The Countries are alleged in the petition. IT IS ORDERED that the defendant is detained as flight risk and danger, pending further revocation proceedings. Pursuant to Rule 32.1(a)(6) defendant has failed to show be in the period of t	13	Defendant.)
The defendant appeared in court with counsel. The defendant's probable cause hearing and detention hearing were submitted by defendant through defense counsel. The Countries finds probable cause to believe the defendant violated the terms of his supervised probation as alleged in the petition. IT IS ORDERED that the defendant is detained as flight risk and danger, pending further revocation proceedings. Pursuant to Rule 32.1(a)(6) defendant has failed to show be in some a flight risk or a danger. DATED this 27th day of August, 2009. Mark E. Aram.	14	
and detention hearing were submitted by defendant through defense counsel. The Coufinds probable cause to believe the defendant violated the terms of his supervised probation as alleged in the petition. IT IS ORDERED that the defendant is detained as flight risk and danger, pending further revocation proceedings. Pursuant to Rule 32.1(a)(6) defendant has failed to show less is not a flight risk or a danger. DATED this 27th day of August, 2009. Mark E. A.		The defendant appeared in court with counsel. The defendant's probable cause hearing
finds probable cause to believe the defendant violated the terms of his supervised probation as alleged in the petition. IT IS ORDERED that the defendant is detained as flight risk and danger, pending further revocation proceedings. Pursuant to Rule 32.1(a)(6) defendant has failed to show less is not a flight risk or a danger. DATED this 27 th day of August, 2009. Mark E. Argent		and detention hearing were submitted by defendant through defense counsel. The Court
as alleged in the petition. IT IS ORDERED that the defendant is detained as flight risk and danger, pendin further revocation proceedings. Pursuant to Rule 32.1(a)(6) defendant has failed to show l is not a flight risk or a danger. DATED this 27 th day of August, 2009. Mark E. Aram		finds probable cause to believe the defendant violated the terms of his supervised probation
IT IS ORDERED that the defendant is detained as flight risk and danger, pendir further revocation proceedings. Pursuant to Rule 32.1(a)(6) defendant has failed to show l is not a flight risk or a danger. DATED this 27 th day of August, 2009. Mark E. Orner		as alleged in the petition.
further revocation proceedings. Pursuant to Rule 32.1(a)(6) defendant has failed to show I is not a flight risk or a danger. DATED this 27 th day of August, 2009. Mark E. August		IT IS ORDERED that the defendant is detained as flight risk and danger, pending
is not a flight risk or a danger. DATED this 27 th day of August, 2009. Mark E. Argen		further revocation proceedings. Pursuant to Rule 32.1(a)(6) defendant has failed to show he
DATED this 27 th day of August, 2009. 23 24 25 Mark E. August		is not a flight risk or a danger.
24 25 Mark E. again		DATED this 27 th day of August, 2009.
25 Mark E. agen		
Mark E. Clapsen		
76 Marte Li Manari	26	Mark E. Oapen
United States Magistrate Judge 27		United States Magistrate Judge
28		